UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,124	11/18/2005	Tony Amato	745691-39	2453
22204 NIXON PEABO	7590 09/21/200 ODY, LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STRE		GORDON, BRYAN P		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,124	AMATO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRYAN P. GORDON	2837	

	BICITAICT: CORBOIT	2007
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	t waisan to the data of filing a buist	will not be entered because
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 See attached Nation of Nan Co.	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the lonowing rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered t or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see below.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Walter Benson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2837		
- specification examination for contraction		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

As the examiner stated in the final rejection dated 29 April 2009, Kreuter and Ehlert fails to teach an applicator, extender and booster are integrally formed, the combination above discloses the claimed inveniton except for the parts being integrally form. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form them integrally, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formely been formed two pieces and put together invovles only routine skill in the art. That is widely held case law and therefore is revlevant to the present case.

Secondly, it is widely held that forming a device integrally would provide obvious cost advantages since it would be cheap to produce the device from one structure instead of combing multiple ones together.

Lastly, Ehlert does teach that it's ultrasonic horn could be form integrally as stated in col. 12 lines 23-31.